

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,656	09/23/2003	Yoshikazu Shinchi	SHIN3003/EM	9114
23364	7590 10/11/2005		EXAM	INER
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE			STINSON, FRANKIE L	
FOURTH FL	- <del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDE	RIA, VA 22314		1746	
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/667,656	SHINCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  apply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·					
Disposition of Claims	·					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г <b>.</b>					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) dbjected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/23/2003.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Japan'123 (Japan 2000-28123).

Re claim 1, note that Japan'123 discloses a dishwasher comprising: a main body having generally a box shape with an open front; a washer tub being extracted from and retracted into the main body through the open front by means of slide rail assemblies, the washer tub having an open top; a lid (144, see fig. 1) being located at an upper part of the main body when the washer tub is fully extracted and being moved downward as the washer tub is retracted, the lid closing the open top of the washer tub when the washer tub is fully retracted to be accommodated in the main body; and an extension (unnumbered, but beneath and in contact with element near 145 in fig. 1) member extending backward from a top rear portion of the washer tub, wherein, when the washer tub is fully extracted from the main body, a rear end of an inner wall of the washer tub is located in front of a front end of the main body and a free end of the extension member is placed under the lid.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan'123.

Re claim 2, although not specifically disclosed by Japan'123, no patentable distinction is deemed to exist between the extension member as claimed, and the corresponding unnumbered element (near 145) as noted as above in Japan'123.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Welch et al., sergeant et al., Tuller et al., McNairy, Germany'149, Japan'560, Japan'570, Japan'828, Germany'149, Japan'450 and Japan'714, note the drawers
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1746

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746

đ